Beach Nourishment

Introduction

Beach nourishment is the engineered process of pumping or dumping sand on a beach to replace eroded sand, or to protect against future erosion. Beach nourishment can also be used to widen a naturally narrow beach. Its drawbacks discussed below notwithstanding, nourishment is most suitable on beaches that provide natural protective services and culturally or economically important coastal access. Beach nourishment might also be apt for beaches that are the most susceptible to erosion due to rising sea levels or increased storm impacts.

Tradeoffs

One advantage of nourishment is that it can maintain the width of an eroding beach. Nourishment can also replace sediment supply loss, such as from sand mining or from dammed rivers. Nourishment is also environmentally preferable to armoring a beach with seawalls, especially in the short term. Beach nourishment might also increase public access to beaches by maintaining or expanding the beaches themselves. Proponents of beach nourishment argue that it is less expensive than competing strategies, such as retreat. Regardless, it is still very expensive, perhaps even more so than other options, depending on what backs the beach.

However, beach nourishment is known to have deleterious impacts on environmental conditions. For instance, nourishment can cause increased turbidity and sediment suspension in surrounding waters. Murky, turbid water can threaten the affected marine species and habitats. Nourishment can also cause environmental impacts to the areas from which the sand is sourced, especially if dredging is involved. In California, beach nourishment can cause sediment to unnaturally accumulate in the submarine canyons offshore of the coastline. Beyond direct environmental concerns, beach nourishment has had the effect of encouraging development in certain especially hazardous areas. Another drawback of beach nourishment projects is that they can be politically unpopular and can prompt public opposition.

Further, beach nourishment alone will not safeguard beaches, especially those impacted by reduced sediment supply from dammed rivers upstream. Similarly, sand mines can reduce sediment supply, prompting more beach nourishment in affected areas than would otherwise be required. Detractors also argue that nourishment is a misnomer because the name does not reflect the potential damage these projects can do to the coast.
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Finally, beach nourishment is sometimes disfavored because it benefits a few landowners at the expense of the public at large. Specifically, public funds are typically used to finance nourishment projects under the guise that they will increase beach access or at least maintain existing public beaches. But nourishment projects typically act to protect the few wealthy landowners whose homes are imperiled by eroding beaches and rising seas instead, with limited protective benefits for public property.

**Legal Considerations**

Beach nourishment projects require several permits and are subject to several state and federal laws. Projects are typically subject to California Environmental Quality Act, National Environmental Policy Act, and other environmental impact analyses. After the applicable environmental review processes are complete, permits must be granted by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the California Coastal Commission, among other agencies. Depending on the project, more permits may be required.

**Examples**

Beach nourishment projects might face legal opposition for a variety of reasons. In New Jersey, homeowners filed lawsuits challenging nourishment projects that obstructed their views of the ocean. In Florida, beachfront property owners sued to stop a beach nourishment on the grounds that it unlawfully infringed upon their rights to the beach. In California, lawsuits over nourishment have even challenged the routes that trucks carrying sand to nourish the beach were to take. Another lawsuit challenged a Malibu beach nourishment project on environmental grounds.
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Disclaimer: This policy brief is for informational purposes only and is not legal advice.